arXiv:1401.3758v1 [math.AT] 15 Jan 2014

Decidability of the extension problem for maps into odd-dimensional spheres*

Lukáš Vokřínek

January 17, 2014

Abstract

In a recent paper [3], it was shown that the problem of existence of a continuous map $X \to Y$ extending a given map $A \to Y$ defined on a subspace $A \subseteq X$ is undecidable, even for Y an even-dimensional sphere. In the present paper, we prove that the same problem for Y an odd-dimensional sphere is decidable. More generally, the same holds for any d-connected target space Y whose homotopy groups $\pi_k Y$ are finite for $k > 2d$.

1. Introduction

The main object of study of the present paper is the *extension problem*. Given spaces X, Y and a map $f\colon A \to Y$ defined on a subspace $A \subseteq X$, it questions the existence of a continuous extension



If Y is allowed non-simply connected, this problem is undecidable by a simple reduction to the word problem in groups. Thus, we restrict ourselves to the situation of a *simply connected* Y.

In [8], Steenrod expressed a hope that the extendability problem would be algorithmically solvable. It was proved in [1] that this is indeed the case if one restricts to a suitably "stable" situation, i.e. if $\dim X \leq 2 \operatorname{conn} Y + 1$. The algorithm of that paper depended on computations with *abelian groups* of homotopy classes of maps that are not available unstably. Later, the authors showed in [3] that the previous positive result was very much the best possible: the extension problem with $\dim X > 2 \operatorname{conn} Y + 1$ is undecidable, even for such a simple target space as S^{d+1} with $d+1$ even. This undecidability result has implications to other problems, namely, [5] shows the undecidability of the problem of existence of a robust zero of a given PL-map $K \to \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$, again for d even.

It may thus come as a bit of a surprise that the last two problems with $d+1$ odd are decidable – this is the content of Theorem 1 below. It applies to $Y = S^{d+1}$, $d+1$ odd, since in this case, $\pi_n S^{d+1}$ is finite for $n > d+1$. Again, [5] implies the decidability of the problem of existence of a robust zero of a given PL-map $K \to \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$, d odd.

Theorem 1. *There exists an algorithm that, given a pair of finite simplicial sets (X, A), a finite d-connected simplicial set Y, $d \geq 1$, with homotopy groups $\pi_n Y$ finite for all $2d < n < \dim X$ and a simplicial map $f\colon A \to Y$, decides the existence of a continuous extension $g\colon X \to Y$ of f.*

*The research was supported by the grant P201/11/0528 of the Czech Science Foundation (GA ČR).
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55Q05; Secondary 55S35.
Key words and phrases. Homotopy class, computation, higher difference.

We do not have any bounds on the running time of such an algorithm. In the light of the #P-hardness of the computation of the homotopy group $\pi_k Y$ when k is a part of the input (in unary), see [3], one should not expect that this algorithm is polynomial-time when the dimension of X is not fixed. However, even if $\dim X$ is bounded, it seems that our algorithm will not have polynomial running time. Nevertheless, the contrast with the undecidability for even-dimensional spheres is huge.

In Section 5, we briefly discuss an extension of Theorem 1 to the fibrewise equivariant situation of [4]. In the special case $A = \emptyset$, such an extension implies the decidability of the problem of existence of a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant map $X \to S^{d+1}$ when $d+1$ is odd. The *index* of X, denoted $\operatorname{ind} X$, is the smallest $d+1$ for which such an equivariant map $X \to S^{d+1}$ exists; it has many applications in geometry and combinatorics. Thus, with the equivariant version of Theorem 1, it is possible to narrow $\operatorname{ind} X$ down to two possible values.

2. Sets with an action and mappings to abelian groups

Let S and T be sets with a binary operation $+\colon S \times T \to S$ that has a right-sided zero $0 \in T$, i.e. such that $x + 0 = x$. We use the bracketing convention $x + y + z = (x + y) + z$. We define a "derived" action of T on S by

$$x + \theta y = x + y + \cdots + y.$$

Again, it has a right-sided zero 0. The following lemma will be our main technical tool.

Lemma 2. *Let $f\colon S \to G$ be an arbitrary mapping of S into an abelian group G. Then, for each prime power $q = p^m$ and $\ell_0 > 0$, there exists $\ell \geq \ell_0$, a function $D_{q,\ell} f\colon S \times T^\ell \to G$ such that $D_{q,\ell} f(x; y_1, \ldots, y_\ell) = 0$ whenever $y_i = 0$ for some i, and $\theta > 0$ such that*

$$f(x + \theta y) \equiv f(x) + D_{q,\ell} f(x; y, \ldots, y). \pmod q$$

In fact, $D_{q,\ell}$ is a formal expression in terms of f, the action of T on S and the group structure on G and works universally for all $f\colon S \to G$. Moreover, this expresion is computable.

We will make a heavy use of higher-order differences

$$\Delta_\ell f(x; y_1, \ldots, y_\ell) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq \ell \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq \ell}} (-1)^{\ell - k} f(x + y_{i_1} + \cdots + y_{i_k}).$$

Clearly, $\Delta_\ell f(x; y_1, \ldots, y_\ell) = 0$ whenever $y_i = 0$ for some i.

For any formal expression written in terms of the action of T on S, we will use a superscript $(-)^{(\theta)}$ to denote the expression obtained by replacing each $x + y$ by $x + \theta y$. In this way, we yield $\Delta_\ell^{(\theta)} f$. The function $D_{q,\ell} f$ will be an integral combination of the $\Delta_\ell^{(\theta)} f$.

Proof. We let $\ell = p^n$ be any power of p for which $\ell \geq \ell_0$ and $\theta = p^{n+m-1}$. The proof is executed by induction with respect to m. By definition, $f(x + p^{n+m-1} y)$ equals

$$f(x + p^n p^{m-1} y) = \Delta_\ell^{(p^{m-1})} f(x; y, \ldots, y) - \sum_{j=0}^{p^n - 1} (-1)^{p^n - j} \binom{p^n}{j} f(x + j p^{m-1} y).$$

For $j > 0$, write $j = p^{n'} j'$ where j' is prime to p and observe that

$$j \binom{p^n}{j} = p^n \binom{p^n - 1}{j - 1}$$

is divisible by p^n, so that $p^{n-n'} \mid \binom{p^n}{j}$. Setting $n' + m = n + m'$, we have either $m' \leq 0$, in which case $n - n' \geq m$ and the binomial coefficient is divisible by $q = p^m$, or we obtain for $q' = p^{m'}$ by induction

$$f(x + j p^{m-1} y) = f(x + p^{n+m'-1} j' y) \equiv f(x) + D_{q',\ell}^{(j')} f(x; y, \ldots, y) \pmod{q'}$$

(this holds even for $j = 0$ when the last term is interpreted as 0). Upon multiplication by $\binom{p^n}{j}$, that is divisible by $p^{n-n'} = q/q'$, we obtain even

$$\binom{p^n}{j} f(x + jp^{m-1}y) \equiv \binom{p^n}{j} f(x) + \binom{p^n}{j} D^{(j')}_{q',\ell} f(x; y, \dots, y). \pmod q$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} (-1)^{p^n-j} \binom{p^n}{j} = -1$, substituting the previous equation into the first yields

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + p^{n+m-1}y) &\equiv f(x) + \Delta^{(p^{m-1})}_\ell f(x; y, \dots, y) - \sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} (-1)^{p^n-j} \binom{p^n}{j} D^{(j')}_{q',\ell} f(x; y, \dots, y) \\ &= f(x) + D_{q,\ell} f(x; y, \dots, y), \end{aligned} \pmod q$$

where we set $D_{q,\ell} = \Delta^{(p^{m-1})}_\ell - \sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} (-1)^{p^n-j} \binom{p^n}{j} D^{(j')}_{q',\ell}$. □

Example 3. In this example, we have $q = p^m = 4$ and $\ell = 4$. Then

$$f(x + 8y) = \Delta^{(2)}_4 f(x; y, y, y, y) + 4f(x + 6y) - 6f(x + 4y) + 4f(x + 2y) - f(x)$$

and we continue in a similar way with the third term,

$$f(x + 4y) = \Delta^{(1)}_4 f(x; y, y, y, y) + 4f(x + 3y) - 6f(x + 2y) + 4f(x + y) - f(x).$$

Substituting into the first equation, we get

$$f(x + 8y) \equiv f(x) + \Delta^{(2)}_4 f(x; y, y, y, y) + 2\Delta^{(1)}_4 f(x; y, y, y, y) \pmod 4$$

and $D_{4,4} f = \Delta^{(2)}_4 f + 2\Delta^{(1)}_4 f$.

3. Postnikov tower

We assume that Y is d-connected simplicial set and has all homotopy groups $\pi_n Y$ finite for $2d < n < \dim X = D$. In the following theorem, $K(\pi, n+1)$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space and $E(\pi, n)$ its path space; more precisely, we use the canonical minimal models with both simplicial sets minimal and the projection $\delta\colon E(\pi, n) \to K(\pi, n+1)$ a minimal fibration, see [7].

Theorem 4. *For each simply connected simplicial set Y, it is possible to construct simplicial sets P_n, for $n < D$, and a sequence of simplicial maps*

$$Y \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} P_n$$

such that $\varphi_{n*}\colon \pi_i(Y) \to \pi_i(P_n)$ is an isomorphism for $i \le n$ and $\pi_i(P_n) = 0$ for $i > n$.

Further, for $2d < n < D$, it is possible to construct simplicial sets $P_{n,i}$ that fit into a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{n,i} & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{Z}/q, n) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta \\ P_{n,i-1} & \xrightarrow{k} & K(\mathbb{Z}/q, n+1) \end{array}$$

with $q = p^m$ a prime power (depending on n and i; the same applies to k) and $P_{n-1} = P_{n,0}$, $P_n = P_{n,r}$, where r is some integer that depends on n. The composition of the projections $P_{n,i} \to P_{n,i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ is a map $p_n\colon P_n \to P_{n-1}$ for which $p_n \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1}$.

Proof. The paper [2] gives the simplicial sets P_n. To obtain their refinements $P_{n,i}$, we compute a decomposition

$$\pi_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_r$$

of the n-th homotopy group into a sum of cyclic groups of prime power orders. Then we define $\pi_{n,i} = \mathbb{Z}/q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_i$ with obvious projections $\mathrm{pr}\colon \pi_n \to \pi_{n,i}$; $P_{n,i}$ is the following pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{n,i} & \longrightarrow & & & E(\pi_{n,i}, n) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ P_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{k_n} & K(\pi_n, n+1) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_*} & K(\pi_{n,i}, n+1) \end{array}$$

□

Theorem 5. *It is possible to construct an action $x + \Theta y$, $\Theta \gg 0$, of P_{2d} on each $P_{n,i}$, for $2d \le n < D$, that has a right-sided zero $0 \in P_{2d}$. The projections $P_{n,i} \to P_{n,i-1}$ respect this action.*

Proof. We will construct, by induction with respect to n and i, positive integers $\Theta_{n,i}$ and an action $x + \Theta_{n,i} y$ of P_{2d} on $P_{n,i}$. The action $x + \Theta y$ from the statement is then obtained by setting $\Theta = \Theta_{D-1,r}$ and deriving the action $\Theta_{n,i}$; this is possible since $\Theta_{n,i} \mid \Theta$ by construction. Starting with $n = 2d$, the paper [1] constructs an abelian H-group structure on P_{2d}, i.e. an action of P_{2d} on itself; we set $\Theta_{2d+1,0} = 1$.

For the induction step, we apply Lemma 2 to the Postnikov invariant $k\colon P_{n,i-1} \to K(\mathbb{Z}/q, n+1)$ – its target is a simplicial abelian group, i.e. an abelian group in each dimension. The function

$$D_{q,\ell}^{(\Theta_{n,i-1})} k\colon P_{n,i-1} \times P_{2d} \times \cdots \times P_{2d} \to K(\mathbb{Z}/q, n+1)$$

(formally, it is not derived from $D_{q,\ell} k$ since $x + y$ is not defined, but we want to emphasize that it is with respect to the action $x + \Theta_{n,i-1} y$) is zero whenever at least one of the components in P_{2d} is zero and thus we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{n,i-1} \times \{\text{fat wedge}\} & \xrightarrow{0} & E(\mathbb{Z}/q, n) \\ \downarrow & \overset{M'}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ P_{n,i-1} \times P_{2d} \times \cdots \times P_{2d} & \xrightarrow[D_{q,\ell}^{(\Theta_{n,i-1})} k]{} & K(\mathbb{Z}/q, n+1) \end{array}$$

(the fat wedge consists of those ℓ-tuples $(y_1, \ldots, y_\ell) \in P_{2d} \times \cdots \times P_{2d}$ with at least one y_i equal to the basepoint 0). The cofibre of the map on the left is $(P_{n,i-1})_+ \wedge P_{2d} \wedge \cdots \wedge P_{2d}$ and is $(\ell(d+1) - 1)$-connected. Therefore, when $\ell \gg 0$, a diagonal M' exists; it can be computed as in [1]. We define $M(x, y) = M'(x; y, \ldots, y)$, so that

$$\delta M(x, y) = D_{q,\ell}^{(\Theta_{n,i-1})} k(x; y, \ldots, y) = k(x + \theta \Theta_{n,i-1} y) - k(x),$$

where θ is the output of Lemma 2. Denoting $\Theta_{n,i} = \theta \Theta_{n,i-1}$, this allows us to define a new action on $P_{n,i} \subseteq P_{n,i-1} \times E(\mathbb{Z}/q, n)$ by the formula

$$(x, c) + \Theta_{n,i} y = (x + \Theta_{n,i} y, c + M(x, y))$$

(the compatibility holds since $\delta(c + M(x, y)) = \delta c + \delta M(x, y) = k(x) + (k(x + \Theta_{n,i} y) - k(x)) = k(x + \Theta_{n,i} y)$). □

After the following simple observation, we will be ready to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 6. *For each $g'\colon X \to P_{2d}$ and $2d < n < D$, it is possible to compute the finite set of homotopy classes of all lifts $g\colon X \to P_n$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that each π_n is finite for $2d < n < D$. Namely, since π_{2d+1} is finite, the number of all lifts of g' to a map $X \to P_{2d+1}$ is finite. Thus, it is possible to go through all these partial lifts and compute all their lifts to P_n by recursion. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

For $n = D-1$, let $f\colon A \to P_n$ also denote the composition $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} P_n$. By the usual obstruction theory, it is enough to check whether an extension to $g\colon X \to P_n$ exists – the higher obstructions are all zero. Thus, we consider the Postnikov stage P_n with an action $x + \Theta y$ by the stage P_{2d}. Consider the commutative square (the R and R' are the restriction maps while Π_X and Π_A are post-compositions with the projection $P_n \to P_{2d}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [g] \in [X, P_n] & \xrightarrow{\Pi_X} & [X, P_{2d}] \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle R} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle R'} \\ [f] \in [A, P_n] & \xrightarrow[\Pi_A]{} & [A, P_{2d}] \ni [f'] \end{array}$$

with $[f'] = \Pi_A[f]$. We compute the groups on the right explicitly as in [1] and consider the subset $H = (R')^{-1}[f']$ of all possible extensions of f' to a map $X \to P_{2d}$. There is a finite set $H_0 \subseteq H$ such that $H = H_0 + \Theta \ker R'$; namely, if $[h_0] \in H$ and we identify $\ker R' \cong \mathbb{Z}/q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_r$ (possibly with some $q_i = 0$ giving $\mathbb{Z}/0 = \mathbb{Z}$), we may take for H_0 all r-tuples of the form $[h_0]+(z_1, \ldots, z_r) \in H$ with each $|z_i| \le \Theta/2$.

Suppose first that g is any extension of f and express its image in $[X, P_{2d}]$ as $\Pi_X[g] = [h] - \Theta[k]$ with $[h] \in H_0$ and $[k] \in \ker R'$. Then $[\widehat{g}] = [g] + \Theta[k] \in \Pi_X^{-1}(H_0)$ also gives an extension of f since

$$R[\widehat{g}] = R([g] + \Theta[k]) = [f] + \Theta R'[k] = [f],$$

(the operations in homotopy classes are natural and $[k] \in \ker R'$). Thus, we see that an extension g exists if and only if $[f] \in R\Pi_X^{-1}(H_0)$. This set is finite and its representatives can be computed using Lemma 6. For each $[\widehat{f}] \in R\Pi_X^{-1}(H_0)$, we may then test whether $[\widehat{f}] = [f]$ by the main theorem of [6]. □

5. A fibrewise equivariant version

The same argument could be repeated in the fibrewise equivariant setup of [4], though actions with a strict right-sided zero have to be replaced by ones with a weak zero. Denoting $I = \Delta^1$, this structure is a map

$$(1 \times P_{n,i} \times_B P_{2d}) \cup (I \times P_{n,i} \times_B B) \to P_{n,i}$$

consisting of an action and a homotopy $x \sim x + 0$.

The most significant difference lies in the proof of Theorem 5. The space $P_{n,i-1} \times P_{2d} \times \cdots \times P_{2d}$ has to be replaced by the following subspace of $I^\ell \times (P_{n,i-1} \times_B P_{2d} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{2d})$:

$$\bigcup_{\substack{k \ge 0, \\ 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le \ell}} (d^+_{i_1} \cdots d^+_{i_k} I^\ell) \times (P_{n,i-1} \times_B \vee_B^{i_1,\ldots,i_k} P_{2d}), \tag{1}$$

where $d^+_{i_1} \cdots d^+_{i_k} I^\ell \subseteq I^\ell$ consists of those ℓ-tuples $(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$ with $t_{i_1} = \cdots = t_{i_k} = 1$ and where $\vee_B^{i_1,\ldots,i_k} P_{2d} \subseteq P_{2d} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{2d}$ is formed by those ℓ-tuples $(y_1, \ldots, y_\ell)$ whose components y_j with $j \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ lie on the zero section B. In particular, $\vee_B^{\emptyset} P_{2d} = B \times_B \cdots \times_B B$ and $\vee_B^{1,\ldots,\ell} P_{2d} = P_{2d} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{2d}$.

The subspace $P_{n,i-1} \times \{\text{fat wedge}\}$ is replaced by the subspace of (1) formed by those elements whose component in I^ℓ has at least one component equal to 0. By the methods of [4], it is then easy to equip this pair with effective homology, compute the variation of the map M' from the proof of Theorem 5 and use it to define a new weak action of P_{2d} on $P_{n,i}$.

References

[1] M. Čadek, M. Krčál, J. Matoušek, F. Sergeraert, L. Vokřínek, U. Wagner. *Computing all maps into a sphere*. Preprint, `arXiv:1105.6257`, 2011. Extended abstract in Proc. ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2012), 1–10.

[2] M. Čadek, M. Krčál, J. Matoušek, L. Vokřínek, U. Wagner. *Polynomial-time computation of homotopy groups and Postnikov systems in fixed dimension*. Preprint, `arXiv:1211.3093`, 2012.

[3] M. Čadek, M. Krčál, J. Matoušek, L. Vokřínek, U. Wagner. *Extendability of continuous maps is undecidable*. Preprint, `arXiv:1302.2370`, 2013.

[4] M. Čadek, M. Krčál, L. Vokřínek. *Algorithmic solvability of the lifting-extension problem*. Preprint, `arXiv:1307.6444`, 2013.

[5] P. Franek, M. Krčál. *Robust Satisfiability of Systems of Equations*. Preprint, `http://kam.mff.cuni.cz/~krcal/pmwiki/uploads/Main/soda.pdf`, 2013. To appear in Proc. ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2014).

[6] M. Filakovský, L. Vokřínek. *Are two given maps homotopic? An algorithmic viewpoint*. Preprint, `arXiv:1312.2337`, 2013.

[7] J. P. May. *Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1992.

[8] N. E. Steenrod. *Cohomology operations and obstructions to extending continuous functions: colloquium lectures*. Princeton University, 1957.

Lukáš Vokřínek
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Masaryk University,
Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno,
Czech Republic
`koren@math.muni.cz`